

#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Welcome and Introduction** 

Roger Hey Future Networks Manager







# Housekeeping





#### **Generation Across WPD's Network**







#### **Innovation Programme**



Ofgem Report 2015-2016

WESTERN POWER

Contents 🜔

#### **DSO Transition Programme**





## **Innovation - Objectives**

The objectives of WPD's innovation programme are to:

- Develop new *smart* techniques that will accommodate increased load, storage and generation (Distributed Energy Resources – DER) at lower costs than conventional reinforcement;
- Facilitate energy and capacity markets; including local flexibility services
- Improve performance against one or more of our core goals of safety, customer service, reliability, the environment or cost effectiveness;
- Ensure solutions are compatible with the existing network;
- Deliver solutions so that they become business as usual; and
- Provide long term, whole system outcomes and value for money for consumers.





#### **DSO Transition Programme**

#### Assets

Investment in technology to ensure networks operate at high performance levels

Roll out of Active Network Management across entire network by 2021, with expanded connections options available for customers allowing them to get quicker and cheaper access to the network.

Telecommunications readiness and strategic investment in fibre networks will deliver more visibility and controllability

#### Customers

Propositions for DSR services will be developed for specific customer group, prioritised in regions and customer segments as the need arises

Creation of a localised visibility platform that will demonstrate where there is congestion or capacity on the network, informing localised tariffs and supporting the development of a Local Energy Market

Alternative connection products will be extended to all WPD areas and extended to include demand and storage connections

#### Network operations

Invest in technology to give us unprecedented visibility and monitoring of the network Use complex data analytic tools to forecast requirements and ensure the network is proactively managed

Upgrade business areas to facilitate flexibility services such as demand side response

Continue work to develop and update regional energy scenarios that will establish future network needs and inform strategic investment in the network



Agenda	
09.30 – 10.00	Arrival and Refreshments
10.00 – 10.15	Welcome and Introduction
10.15 - 10.45	Project Overview and Original Aims
10.45 - 11.15	Enhanced Fault Level Assessment
11.15 - 11.30	Refreshments
11.30 - 12.15	Fault Level Monitors – Design and Implementation
12.15 - 13.15	Lunch
13.15 - 14.00	Fault Current Limiters – Design and Implementation
14.00 - 14.30	Customer Benefits – Connections and Security
14.30 - 14.45	Refreshments
14.45 – 15.15	Alternative Connections
15.15 - 15.30	Next Steps and Close



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

**Closedown Dissemination Event** 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Project Introduction, Aims and Objectives** 



**Jonathan Berry** 





#### **Technical Definition**

A short circuit (fault level) is an electrical circuit that allows a current to travel along an unintended path with no or very low electrical impedance.



Examples of unintentional conducting paths in a 3-phase system (faults)



#### What actually causes faults on the system?





What actually causes faults on the system?





What actually causes faults on the system?





#### What effects it and how does it change?





#### What dominates the distribution fault level?





#### What dominates the distribution fault level?





#### What dominates the distribution fault level?





How is it generated and changed?

# V = IR



How is it generated and changed?

# V = IZZ = R + X



How is it generated and changed?





#### How is it generated and changed?





#### How is it generated and changed?

















# $\frac{V}{ZI} = -\frac{Z}{Z}$















# How is it going to (likely to) change?









# How is it going to (likely to) change?

Average Combined Heat and Power Fault Level Infeed – **4.5MVA/MVA** 

Average Inverter Fed Generator Infeed – **1.2MVA** 

Even if the Power Station was equivalent to a CHP unit a 2000MW station would have an infeed value of **9000MVA** 

If all that power was generated by inverter fed distributed generation the fault level infeed would be reduced by **6600MVA** to **2400MVA** 



# How is it going to (likely to) change?

National Grid's projection of fault level reduction from 2015 to 2025





### What does this mean?

#### Short Term

#### Centralised Generation and Distributed Generation





### What does this mean?

#### Medium Term

Reduced Centralised Generation and Increased Distributed Generation







### What does this mean?

#### Long Term

#### Minimal Centralised Generation and Dominated Distribution Generation







# What does this ACTUALLY mean?


#### **Distribution Networks of the Future**





# **FlexDGrid Project?**



#### What are we doing?

Understanding, Managing and Reducing the Fault Level on an electricity network

#### Why are we doing it?

Facilitating the early and cost effective integration of Low Carbon generation

#### Why are we doing it now?

Supporting the Carbon Plan – Connection of generation to the grid and development of heat networks – reducing carbon emissions



# What is FlexDGrid?

Three integrated Methods leading to quicker and cost effective customer connections through a timely step change in the enhanced understanding, management and mitigation of distribution network Fault Level.



Each Method can be applied on its own whilst the integration of the three Methods combined will provide a system level solution to facilitate the connection of additional Generation.



#### **FlexDGrid Effect on Fault Level**





.

Fault Level Heat Maps





# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Enhanced Fault Level Assessment** 



Ali Kazerooni





#### FlexDGrid – Method Alpha

Three integrated Methods leading to quicker and cost effective HV customer connections through a timely step change in the enhanced understanding, management and mitigation of distribution network fault level





#### Introduction

- Methodology to develop the computer model of 11kV networks
- Fault level assessment sensitivity analysis and review internal policy documents;
- Tools and methodologies for an enhanced fault level calculations
- Tools and computer models for assessing the impact of FCLs on network fault levels









#### **Developing computer models - Methodology**

Select the power system analysis software PSS®E 32	Identify appropriate/updated databases	Develop conversation algorithm and tools	Integration into existing EHV model
•EHV (132, 66kV) model was available •ER G74 script was already developed	<ul> <li>Network connectivity's</li> <li>Conductor types</li> <li>Demand</li> <li>Generation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A tool and methodolog can be used for other parts of network</li> <li>Easy to use and accessible to everyone</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Integrated model from grid supplied points to secondary substations</li> <li>Interconnection between primary substations through 11 kV network</li> </ul>



#### **Developing Computer Models - Methodology**



EMU

PSS/E



#### **Developing Computer Models - Methodology**





#### **Developing Computer Models – Conversion Tool**

2				Topology_EMU	J_to_PSSE (fin	al) (2).xlsm [I	Read-O	nly] - Micro	osoft Excel								-	•	x
9	Home Insert Pa	ge Layout Formulas	Data Review	View Developer	PDF-XChar	nge 2012											Ø	) - 6	X
Pa			<u>∆</u> • ■ ■ #	Wrap Text			* *00 *-0	Conditiona Formatting	I Format • as Table • Styles	Cell Styles *	Insert	Delete Forn	at 🛃	Clear -	Sort & F Filter * S				
	M20 - 🕤	fx																	*
	A B C	D E	F G	н	J	K L	N	N	O P	Q	R	S	T	ι	J	V	W	Х	-
1 2 3 4	Browse	UG Cable file:	H:\VBA\Hall Green\6	70028_UgCable.xlsx	× 1			To up	load data			Choose file been chose	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		252				Î
5	Browse	Transformers file:	H:\VBA\Hall Green\&	70028_Transformer.xls	×				to copy di				n, enek a	(ep 1. 108	0				
7	Browse	Internal file:	H:\VBA\Hall Green\6	70028_internal.xlsx															
9 10	Browse	OH Line file:	H:\VBA\Hall Green\6	70028_OhLine.xlsx					p 3: Data ( ge 1 of 3	Conversion	- Progre	\$\$			0 Dura	ition: Up	to 1-2 ha	urs*	
11 12	Browse Breaker file: H:\VBA\Hall Green\670028_Breaker.xlsx				Stage 2 of 3 Duration: Up to 10 minutes Stage 3 of 3 Duration: Up to 5 minutes														
13	Start numbering buses at:	27000		Auto-match unknown s	ized cable					-					*Dep	endent o	n amour	nt of da	ta
14 15 16 17	OUTPUT Comment line 1: Comment line 2:	Export trial from sam	iple data																
17     Comment line 2:       18       19       20       Step 1: Load Data       21   Step 2: Enter Buses Step 3: Convert Data Step 4: Export data																			
<ul> <li>22</li> <li>23 Tool description:</li> <li>24 This tool produces a raw file compatible with PSS/E, constructed from data obtained from EMU. This data</li> <li>25 describes the underground cables, overhead lines, internal connections, transformers and breakers for 11 kV</li> <li>26 networks.</li> <li>27 The steps involved in this conversion of data are:</li> <li>28 1) Relevant data are extracted from the relevant sheets imported into this tool</li> <li>26 networks.</li> <li>27 The stop involved in the relevant sheets imported into this tool</li> <li>27 The tool has the option to automatically change material codes with unknown sizes. To turn this feature</li> </ul>										*									
14 4	→ → Title / QA / Key L dy 🎦														9		Ū		•



## **Developing Computer Models – Integration into EHV Model**





#### **Developing Computer Models - Outcomes**

- **15** primary substations
- **3,041** secondary substations
- and 1,878 km HV circuits

Reduced time of modelling and human error

Enhanced EHV fault contribution calculation

Enhanced HV networks model granularity





#### **Fault Level Analysis Tools**





#### **Fault Level Guidance Tools**



Fault level estimation	<mark>- Generatio</mark>	on Connectio	n	
Chester Street			Base U	nits
VENTNOR AVE.	724142		Base power [MVA]	100
11			Base voltage [k¥]	11
			Base current [kA]	5.25
	Make [kA]	Break [kA]	Base impedance [Ohm]	1.21
@ Chester Street	32.8	11.4		
@ VENTNOR AVE.	33.4	13.1	Generation connection	@ VENTNOR AVE.
	Maka (köl	Drock (kå)		
@ Chaster Street				T
e rennomme.	20.10	10.11		$\frown$
	R [ohm]	X [ohm]		$\sim$
R AVE. to CHESTER STREET primar		0.118	Chester Street Primary Sul	ostation 😣
				$\cup$
			Make Eault Jours (16.61-	22.55
			Break fault level [kA]=	8.51
Generator rating [MVA]	3	3.0		
	0.	.22		
Sub-transient reactance [ohm]	1	7.7		
	Make [kA]	Break [kA]		
@ Chester Street	1.15	0.71		
@ VENTNOR AVE.	1.17	0.83		
			VENTNOR AVE. 3 MVA	
	Make [kA]			( G )
				$\sim$
	2167	11.26		
@ VENTNOR AVE.	21.07	11.20		
Name	21.07	11.20	Email the resu	14- ( <b>†</b> - 40
	Chester Street VENTNOR AVE. 11 © Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. © Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. Chester Street © Chester Street © Chester Street © Chester Street	Chester Street VENTNOR AVE. 72 11 Chester Street 72 © Chester Street 32.8 © VENTNOR AVE. 33.4 Chester Street 21.4 © Chester Street 21.4 © Chester Street 21.4 © VENTNOR AVE. 20.49 Chester Street 21.4 © Chester Street 21.5 © Chester Street 11.5 © Chester Street 11.7 © Chester Street 11.7 © Chester Street 11.7 © Chester Street 11.7 © Chester Street 22.55	Chester Street VENTNOR AVE. 11 Wake [kA] Break [kA] © Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. Chester Street © Chester Street © Chester Street © Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. Break [kA] © Chester Street © VENTNOR AVE. Break [kA] © Chester Street © Chester rating [MYA] Transient reactance [p.u] Sub-transient reactance [p.u] Transient reactance [p.u] Sub-transient reactance [p.u] Chester Street © Chester Street	VENTNOR AVE.       724142       Base power [MVA]         11



#### **Fault Level Calculation Sensitivity Analysis**





#### **Fault Level Calculation Sensitivity Analysis**





#### **Fault Level Sensitivity Analysis – Generation PF**





#### **Fault Level Calculation Policy Document**





Enhanced fault level assessments

- A test bed for model validation through fault level monitoring
- Models and tools for FCL impact desktop studies

Fault level management Fault level mitigation



#### **FCL Modelling - Challenges**

- PSCFCL , RSFCL are now live assets and need to be considered in fault level assessment
- Detailed parameters of the device were not provided by the manufacturers due to confidentiality issues;
- Transient models could not be constructed using conventional power system analysis tools; and
- Detailed technical knowledge for transient modelling and analysis of the device was required.



#### **FCL Modelling - Transient Behaviour**





#### **FCL Modelling – Static Modelling**

A fit-for-purpose computer model for FCLs may only include their behaviour at specific snapshots of the fault period e.g. Making and Breaking fault times

**Stage I** – Obtain device specific impedance data and create impedance look-up tables for prospective Make and Break fault currents.

**Stage II –** Deploy the FCL impedance estimator in static shortcircuit calculations.



#### Impedance at Breaking Time (70ms) - PSCFCL





#### **Impedance at Breaking Time (70ms) - RSFCL**





#### **FCL Impedance Estimator**





#### FCL Modelling - Methodology









# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Fault Level Measurement** 

- Design and Implementation



**Neil Murdoch** 





# Introduction

- Overview of Method Beta
- FLM Integration Options
- Site Selection Process
- FLM Technology
- Site Installation





#### FlexDGrid – Method Beta





#### **Method Beta Overview**

Aim of method Beta:

Installation of Fault Level Measurement Technology to determine the actual real time substation Fault Level.

- Build on knowledge learned through previous projects
- Install FLM technology in 10 substations
- Use knowledge captured to update WPD modelling policies
- Develop control procedures based on customer Fault Level Contribution



# **Site Selection**

- 18 substations identified in and around Birmingham with FL issue
- 10 sites for FLM, selection based on:
  - Availability of Space
  - Network Connection
  - Substation Access
  - Investment Plans
  - Auxiliary Equipment




# **Selected Sites**

Substation	
Castle Bromwich 132/11kV	Hall Green 132/11kV
Chester Street 132/11kV	Elmdon 132/11kV
Bournville 132/11kV	Chad Valley 132/11kV
Kitts Green 132/11kV	Shirley 132/11kV
Bartley Green 132/11kV	Nechells West 132/11kV



# **FLM Technology**

Partnership led by S&C Electric supported by Outram Research, Nortech and HVR Resistors.



# S&C ELECTRIC COMPANY

Excellence Through Innovation





# **Active Fault Level Monitor**

- Originally developed as part of the Teir 1 LCNF Project "active Fault Level Monitor"
- Device comprises
  - S&C Electric IntelliRupter
  - Outram Research PM7000
  - Nortech Envoy
  - HVR Resistor Bank



# **S&C IntelliRupter PulseRecloser**





# **Operation**

- Device originally designed to test a three phase network before a permanent re-close.
- Application modified to close a phase and then pulse another phase placing a 4ms phase to phase fault on the 11kV network.
- Operation occurs at 100ms apart on the peak and trough of the fully closed phase current wave



# **Outram Research PM7000**





# **Operation**

- Monitors Voltage and Current flows through AFLM and substation transformers.
- Measures disturbance on waveforms caused by general switching and by AFLM to determine the substation fault level
- Can distinguish between upstream contribution through primary transformer and contribution from the 11kV network
- Also used to monitor network circulating current to determine if a parallel is made between two transformers



# **Dual Path PM7000 AFLM Waveform**









• Central controller for AFLM operation

- Collects and transmits the real time data back to WPD control
- Programmed to operate device at pre defined interval or ondemand through WPD Network Management System



# **Single Line Diagram of AFLM**





# **Testing – Chicago May 2015**

- Testing carried out in S&C's High Voltage Laboratory
- Aim to prove accuracy of device is within 5% under a variety of network conditions







# **Testing Results**

Test #	Lab Trace ID	Peak I (10ms) error (%)	RMS I (90ms) error (%)
4	90	4.4%	-2.3%
3	92	1.9%	-2.8%
4	93	2.1%	-4.6%
3	95	4.6%	-2.8%
4	96	-2.5%	-8.6%
4	100	3.9%	-8.2%
3	102	2.1%	-4.4%
		2.4%	-4.8%
8	107	3.4%	-0.9%
9	108	6.9%	-2.8%
8	110	3.4%	-1.8%
8	118	2.4%	-4.8%
9	119	-3.8%	-8.7%
8	121	4.9%	-1.9%
9	122	-1.1%	-5.7%
8	124	5.2%	-0.8%
9	125	-1.1%	-6.6%
		2.2%	-3.8%
13	130	0.6%	0.0%
14	131	12.1%	3.6%
13	133	1.6%	-0.9%
14	134	10.8%	-2.3%
13	136	1.5%	-0.9%
14	137	3.4%	-2.6%
14	140	2.6%	-2.6%
13	142	3.5%	-1.1%
14	143	3.7%	-0.6%
		<b>4.2</b> %	-0.8%

- Average accuracy across all tests within 5%
- 50Ω resistance gave poor results due to smaller disturbance
- Red values outside accuracy. Caused by rapid frequency drop unique to laboratory and not a feature of real network



# **Commissioning Dates**

Substation	Commissioning Date
Elmdon 132/11kV	22/10/2014
Chad Valley 132/11kV	02/12/2014
Castle Bromwich 132/11kV	12/02/2015
Kitts Green 132/11kV	04/03/2015
Shirley 132/11kV	04/03/2015
Hall Green 132/11kV	01/04/2015
Nechells West 132/11kV	29/07/2015
Chester Street 132/11kV	13/08/2015
Bartley Green 132/11kV	03/09/2015
Bournville 132/11kV	28/10/2015



# **Example Connections**





# **Installation Pictures**





# **Installation Pictures**









# **Data Captured**

- Using 12 months of fault level data from AFLMs
- 95<sup>th</sup> percentile fault level was calculated for each AFLM
  - Provides a conservative value for maximum fault level
- Comparison made to design fault level and existing modelled fault level
- % available headroom calculated at each substation based on AFLM result



# **Data Graphs**

#### **Chad Valley Make Fault Level**



- Red line is existing modelled Fault level
- Green line is average of all AFLM results and the blue line is the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile value



## **Data Graphs**

**Kitts Green Make Fault Level** 





# **Overall Results – Make Fault Level Change**

	Current Headroom /%	FLM Headroom / %	% Change
Bartley Green	35.0%	36.2%	1.2%
Bournville	25.7%	28.7%	3.0%
Castle Bromwich	15.3%	15.3%	0.0%
Chad Valley	22.8%	30.8%	8.1%
Chester Street	35.9%	34.7%	-1.2%
Elmdon	44.9%	35.3%	-9.6%
Hall Green	32.3%	35.0%	2.7%
Kitts Green	26.0%	3.6%	-22.5%
Nechells West	-4.2%	-10.8%	-6.6%
Shirley	47.3%	43.4%	-3.9%



# **Overall Results – Break Fault Level Change**

	Current Headroom /%	FLM Headroom / %	% Change
Bartley Green	42.0%	35.9%	-6.1%
Bournville	33.6%	33.6%	0.0%
Castle Bromwich	24.4%	13.0%	-11.5%
Chad Valley	31.3%	28.2%	-3.1%
Chester Street	39.7%	23.7%	-16.0%
Elmdon	50.4%	40.5%	-9.9%
Hall Green	38.9%	35.1%	-3.8%
Kitts Green	35.1%	4.6%	-30.5%
Nechells West	11.5%	-2.3%	-13.7%
Shirley	52.7%	26.7%	-26.0%



# **MVA/MVA Analysis**

- Project aim to challenge load infeed assumptions for fault level calculations defined by G74
- Use advanced models combined with AFLM data to determine fault contribution from 11kV network
- Combined with substation load information to generate template for application of learning to substations outside project



# **MVA/MVA** Template

#### MVA per MVA Infeed based on Percentage of Domestic Demand





# **Proposed MVA/MVA Infeed Values**

Load	G74 MVA per MVA Infeed
Majority Domestic	1.0
Split Domestic/Industrial	3.0
Majority Commercial	3.0
Majority Industrial	5.0

- Industrial substations showing values above 5.0 MVA/MVA.
  Decided to limit contribution to 5.0 as per typical contribution from synchronous generation
- Domestic dominated substations remain around 1.0 MVA/MVA contribution
- Commercial and substations with 50/50 split recommended 3.0 MVA/MVA



## Lessons

- FlexDGrid has shown that 1.0 MVA/MVA general load fault infeed value at 11kV is no longer valid at all substations
- Further analysis at a wider range of substations required to come to a definitive conclusion
  - Further development of FLM required to enable easier installation
  - Reduction of ±5% accuracy of device



# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



# Lunch

# Resume at 13.15pm



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

#### **Fault Current Limiters**

- Design and Implementation



**Neil Murdoch** 





# Introduction

- Overview
- Fault Level Issues
- Traditional Reduction Solutions
- Fault Current Limiters
  - Technologies
  - Connection Options
  - Specification
  - Design/Testing/Install





# FlexDGrid – Method Gamma





# **Overview**

- Method Gamma aimed to trial three different Fault Current Limiter (FCL) technologies
- FCLs have now been connected at three 132/11kV substations in Birmingham
- The connection of the FCLs has released 52MVA of generation capacity on the 11kV network





# **Fault Level Issue**

- Substation with two 30MVA transformers in parallel
- LV switchgear is rated at 250MVA
- Maximum Fault Level (Break) is 240MVA
- Only 10MVA spare Fault Level capacity for generation





# **Fault Level Issue**

- New 5MVA CHP generator wishes to connect
- System study reveals that Fault Level is now above rating
- An option is required to reduce the Fault Level





# **Traditional Fault Level Reduction – Option 1**

### **Open Bus-Section**

 Simplest method is to open the bus-section and split the path

C	

Significant reduction in Fault Level



Reduces security of supply (Increase in Customer Interruptions)





# **Traditional Fault Level Reduction – Option 2**

### Reactor

 Installation of reactors in the bus-section or incoming feeders



Moderate reduction in Fault Level



High losses, static impedance



#### Reactors in series with transformers



#### Reactor across bus-section



# **FlexDGrid - Fault Current Limiters**

- FlexDGrid aimed to overcome the limitation of traditional methods of fault level mitigation
- The process below was followed for trialing technologies




# **FCL Technologies**

- Build on knowledge learned through IFI, ETI and LCNF Projects
- Install 5 FL mitigation technologies in 5 separate WPD substations
- Test & trial emerging technologies to quantify performance and network benefits





#### **FCL Technologies**





#### GridON – Pre-Saturated Core FCL





Nexans – Resistive Superconducting FCL





GE/Alstom – Power Electronic FCL



- The Pre-Saturated Core FCL (PSCFCL) acts like a "smart reactor"
- Comprises both AC and <u>DC</u> windings
  - The DC winding adjusts to keep the impedance of the PSCFCL low under normal conditions
  - When a fault occurs on the AC network the automatically changes to a present a higher impedance





THE TRANSFORMER PEOPLE











- Power Rating: 38MVA (2000A)
- Fault level reduction: 44%
- Impedance:
  - 0.18 p.u. (normal)
  - 1.0 p.u. (fault limiting)
- Mass: 170 Tonnes



• Dimensions (LxWxH): 6.4 x 4.5 x 5.3 m



- The Resistive Superconducting FCL exploits the properties of a High Temperature Superconductor (HTS)
- HTS is assembled within a cryostat and kept at very low temperature (72K = -201°C) by using liquid nitrogen
- Normally the RSFCL presents very low impedance to the network
- The HTS becomes hot during faults resulting in a high impedance

# Mexans.





- At low current the RSFCL operates in the superconducting range of the HTS
- As current increases so does the temperature of the HTS
- At the critical current (I<sub>c</sub>) the HTS operates outside the superconducting range and "quenches"
- This causes the impedance of the RSFCL to dramatically increase





- When the RSFCL quenches, the temperature of the HTS increases
- To prevent damage to the HTS, the RSFCL has to disconnect





- Power Rating: 30MVA (1600A)
- Fault level reduction: 50%
- Impedance:
  - 0 p.u. (normal)
  - 2.18 p.u. (fault limiting)
- Mass: 30 Tonnes



• Dimensions (LxWxH): 8.1 x 4.6 x 3.2 m



#### **FCL Technologies – Power Electronic FCL**

- GE proposed an FCL that could rapidly "switch" fault current instead of limiting it
- The device was based upon power electronic IGBTs already used in their VSC demonstrator project (ex-Alstom Grid)
- The PEFCL was designed to "sense" fault current and disconnect before the first peak of fault current





#### **FCL Technologies – Power Electronic FCL**

- Unfortunately, due to issues with the design integrity of the PEFCL it was not able to be completed in time for the end of the project
- However, knowledge from the project has been shared with other DNOs (including UKPN – PowerFul-CB)





- There a number of options for connecting FCLs
- Options may differ depending on:
  - Network configuration
  - FCL operation
  - Balance of load





- Three integration options for FCLs:
  - In series with a transformer
  - Across a bus-section
  - Within an interconnector





**In-series with transformer** 

- Parallel of T1 and T2
- Transformer protection has to be modified
- FCL has to "ride-through faults"





**Across Bus-Section** 

- Parallel of T1 and T2
- Requires spare CBs either side of Bus-Section
- Can disconnect after fault without disturbing incoming supplies





Within an Interconnector

- Parallel of T1 and T3
- Existing protection can be modified



 Can disconnect after fault without disturbing incoming supplies



# **Substation Selection**

- 18 substations identified in and around Birmingham with FL issue
- 5 sites for FCL selected:
  - Availability of Space
  - Network Connection
  - Substation Access
  - Investment Plans
  - Auxiliary Equipment





#### **Substation Selection**





## **Substation Selection**

• Following thorough analysis the following substations were chosen for installation of an FCL

Substation	Comments	
Castle Bromwich 132/11kV	2 no. dual wound 60MVA transformers	
Chester Street 132/11kV	3 no. 30MVA transformers	
Bournville 132/11kV	4 no. 30MVA transformers	
Kitts Green 132/11kV	3 no. dual wound 60MVA transformers	
Bartley Green 132/11kV	2 no. 30MVA transformers	



#### **Specification – FL Reduction**

- The required FL reduction at the chosen substations was based on the Firm Capacity
- Substations with a higher firm capacity had higher levels of reduction





#### **Specification – FCL Requirements**

• The following factors were considered when selecting FCLs





#### **FCL Installations**

• The FCLs were allocated to the substations according to the aspects of each technology

Substation	Technology	Manufacturer
Castle Bromwich 132/11kV	Pre-Saturated Core FCL	GridON
Chester Street 132/11kV	Resistive Superconducting FCL	Nexans
Bournville 132/11kV	Resistive Superconducting FCL	Nexans
Kitts Green 132/11kV	Power Electronic FCL	GE
Bartley Green 132/11kV	Power Electronic FCL	GE



#### **Castle Bromwich FCL Installation**

- FCL was designed to be installed in the leg of GT1A
- Indoor installation with extensive modifications





#### **Castle Bromwich FCL Installation**





#### **Chester Street FCL Installation**

- Three Grid Transformers run in split configuration
- GT1 supplied from a separate source
- RSFCL connected across the bus-section (new switchgear)





#### **Chester Street FCL Installation**















#### **Bournville FCL Installation**

- Four Grid Transformers run in split configuration
- 1960's 11kV switchgear interconnected using cables
- RSFCL connected across an 11kV interconnector





#### **Bournville FCL Installation**















# **Operation of FCLs**

- FCLs have been successfully connected to the system
- Unfortunately no faults have occurred to verify site performance!
- As with most new technologies some issues have arisen during operation





# **Operation of FCLs**

#### GridON

 Problems with DC sensing circuit. Circuit re-designed and trouble free since December 2015

#### Nexans

 Problems with cooling plant failures. Manufacturer has repaired. Investigating alternative cooling solution







# Learning – GridON FCL

#### **Changes in Design**

The initial design from GridON agreed during contract:

- 5.4x4.2x5.0m (LxWxH)
- 161 Tonnes

During the detailed design phase the device footprint and weight increased to:

- 6.4x4.6x5.4m (LxWxH)
- 168 Tonnes

An extra 20% allowance had been made during WPD design





# **Learning – GridON FCL**

#### **Magnetic Shield**

Contract stated that magnetic field outside of the enclosure had to be kept below 5mT

- Design produced required further structural calculations
- Installation of one shield wall after FCL installation
- Shield had to be covered to protect sharp edges

#### <u>Carefully consider installation of shield in</u> <u>overall design</u>





# Learning – GridON FCL

#### Short circuit testing

Witnessing of short circuit testing revealed issues with high magnetic field during faults:

- Operation of buchholz relay
- Alarm from de-hydrating breather
- Alarm from Calisto Gas Monitor

#### These issues were rectified before final testing so that the performance onsite was not affected







#### **Learning – Nexans**

#### Enclosure

#### Advantages

- Majority of components pre-installed
- Control system wiring pre-installed
- Easier for testing
- Less pipework

#### Disadvantages

- Significant additional weight (approx. 29t)
- Logistics to transport and offload

#### Conclusion

- Minimal improvements required to the design
- Larger enclosure to allow better access for cable termination
- Preferred solution to the alternative of installing the device in an existing building, provided that there is sufficient space in the substation compound





#### Learning – Nexans

#### **Cooling System**

#### Issues

- Damaged pipework during commissioning
- Water level dropping below the trip level
- Air intake becoming clogged with debris leading to inadequate air flow
- Minor helium leak due to loose connections
- Water leak at the connection
- Power supply failures

A simpler approach to the cooling system, with less moving parts, could improve reliability




## Learning – Nexans

#### **Open Loop Cooling**

- An open loop cooling system could overcome the issues with the problems encountered on the Nexans RSFCL.
- The following points need to be considered
  - Large reduction in moving parts
  - Space for storage tank
  - Tank provision and filling costs vs.
    maintenance and cooling system losses





- The design and installation of three FCLs on the 11kV network has produced the following benefits:
  - Released FL capacity
  - Increase network security
  - Developed existing technologies
  - Learning and outcomes shared with DNOs



### **Benefits – FL Capacity**

Substation	Capacity Released
Castle Bromwich	13MVA
Chester Street	19MVA
Bournville	20MVA
TOTAL	52MVA



# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Benefits – Connections and Security** 



**Jonathan Berry** 









#### **Enhanced Modelling:**

- Further increases in modelling accuracy and consistency
  - Value to both new and existing connections
  - More accurate representation of network in all conditions
  - Consistency in system operating times
  - Increased utilisation of network assets



#### **Company Directive**

STANDARD TECHNIQUE: SD7F/2

#### Determination of Short Circuit Duty for Switchgear on the WPD Distribution System

Policy Summary

This document provides guidance on calculation of fault levels so as to determine the short-circuit duty for switchgear installed on the WPD distribution networks.



NOTE: The current version of this document is stored in the WPD Corporate Information Database. Any other copy in electronic or printed format may be out of date. Copyright © 2017 Western Power Distribution

- 1 of 14 -

ST:SD7F/2 May 2017



#### Figure 1 - Generator and Transformer Arrangement



Once calculations indicate switchgear is above 95% of its rating it should be considered overstressed, unless detailed studies can show otherwise to a value no greater than 98% at the discretion of the Primary System Design Team Manager.

The errors inherent in any methodology and software program used, together with variance in data accuracy and assumptions, should be taken into account when undertaking any specific detailed studies where initial analysis indicates switchgear above 95% of its rating.

Table 1 – Typical parameters of the generators							
Synchronous generators (11kV)							
	2 – 5MV	/A	5 – 20 MVA		20 – 60 MVA		
Armature Resistance [p.u]	0.0068		0.0075		0.0075		
Synchronous reactance [p.u]	1.8		2.0		2.0		
Transient reactance [p.u]	0.19		0.19		0.19		
Sub-transient reactance [p.u]	0.13		0.13		0.13		
Open circuit transient time	3			6		1	.0
Open circuit sub-transient time	0.04			0.06	0.06 0.07		07
S	ynchronous ge	enerator	s (0.4	15kV		•	
	100 500		)		1	1.5	2
	kVA	kV/	λ	M	VA	MVA	MVA
Armature Resistance [p.u]	0.0077	0.00	95	0.0	095	0.0093	0.0074
Synchronous reactance [p.u]	2.05	2.5	3	2	54	2.49	1.96
Transient reactance [p.u]	0.17	0.1	3	0.	20	0.21	0.16
Sub-transient reactance [p.u]	0.12	0.0	9	0.	14	0.15	0.12
Open circuit transient time	0.34	1.5	5	2.	35	3.56	4.04
Open circuit sub-transient time	0.014	0.01	.7	0.0	036	0.042	0.04
Converter connected generators							
	Make Time Fault infeed [p.u] Break Time Fault infeed [p.		ifeed [p.u]				
Battery Storage	3.0		1.2				
PV System	3.0			1.2			
Micro CHP	3.0			1.2			
Wind Turbine / DFIGs		4.0				2.0	

Voltage Level (kV)	Breaking time (ms)
11kV	70
33kV	70
66kV	50
132kV	50



Real-time Fault Level Data:

- Make and Break data to validate and update network models
- Update how different loads are characterised on the system
- Increased data to inform potential network operability functionality
- Active control of customers











24<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Electricity Distribution

Glasgow, 12-15 June 2017 Paper 0976

CHARACTERISATION OF 11KV FAULT LEVEL CONTRIBUTIONS BASED ON SUBSTATION LOAD PROFILE

Paul EDWARDS WSP | Parsons Brinckerhoff - UK edwardsp@pbworld.com Jonathan BERRY Western Power Distribution - UK jberry@westernpower.co.uk

Load	G74 MVA per MVA Infeed
Majority Domestic	1.0
Split Domestic/Industrial	3.0
Majority Commercial	3.0
Majority Industrial	5.0





Fault Current Limiters:

- Considerable fault level
  headroom created
- Parallel network operation enabled
- Policies and Procedures created for technologies for future use



The DC bias for the FCL is generated by 5 separate DC power supplies which can provide p to a total of 500 A. The required DC bias at 30MVA is 365A and during an overload of 18MVA, 400 A of DC bias is required. The DC bias has to be controlled to sensure that the

not too high (too low DC bias)

reduced (too high DC bias)

- There are two otheles successful with the FCL. The AC otheles is the smaller otheles which hows the Srogmann Logic Controller (FLC). Hows Mohine interface (EM) mohine, subpr. FCL status measure, condition neutritor and smalling writing. The DC otheles contain the DC power supplies areas to create the DC bits for the FCL. The two clubeles are supplied from a segarate UFS system and battery located in the adjacent Fault Level Monitor sequences from
- 33 The FCL is equipped with on-board radiators and a single fan providing ONAF cooling. The cooling fan is controlled by the FLC which monitors the AC load current flowing through the FCL The fan is rothclad on when the current in the FCL encesds 1575A (30MVA). The fan switches off once the current drops below 1400A.
- 3.3.4 In addition to the standard devices found on a transformer, the FCL is also equipped with a Calisto Dissolved Gas Analysis (DGA) device and a regenerative breather.

- 7 of 19







Substation	Capacity Released
Castle Bromwich	13MVA
Chester Street	19MVA
Bournville	20MVA
TOTAL	52MVA





# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

Fault Level Monitors

**Enhancing Alternative Connections** 



**James Bennett** 





#### **Presentation Overview**

- Alternative Connections Background
- Comparison with Existing Offerings & Key Decision Points
- Soft-Intertrip ANM Development
- Final Key Points



#### **Alternative Connections**

- Developed as parts of the network became 'full'
- 'Full' = Limitations from Thermal, Voltage, Protection or Fault Level
- Customers must be willing to accept some level of curtailment in return for a saving in reinforcement costs and timescales
- Level of curtailment can be fixed or dynamic
- WPD currently has four options of increasing technicality



#### **Alternative Connections**





#### **Alternative Connections**





#### **Alternative Connections – Export Limiting**

- Measures Apparent Power at Exit Point
- Uses information to restrict the generation and/or balance the customer demand in order to prevent agreed ASC being exceeded
- Suitable for all capacities & voltage levels
- Reduces generators contribution to thermal or voltage infringements (Fault Level Restrictions may still apply)



#### **Alternative Connections - Timed**

- Achievable where we have predictable load and generation patterns
- Connections will be given an operating schedule which will define times and levels of capacity available
- Typical constraint times;

Period	10am to 4pm	4pm to 10am
October to March	No Constraint	No Constraint
April to September	30% of full output	No Constraint
May to August	0% of full output	No Constraint

- Method of curtailment provided by WPD or customer
- Suitable for sub 1MVA generation installs



#### **Alternative Connections - Timed**



20<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> March 2017

 $\longrightarrow$ 



#### **Alternative Connections – Soft-Intertrip**

- Network Constrained by a single upstream asset requiring reinforcement
- Through monitoring these conditions using the network management system, further capacity can be released when these limits or assets are within normal operating parameters
- On-site WPD RTU issues two stages of constraint 30% total output and 0% total output
- Suitable for all generator applications connecting at HV or with an export level of 250kW and above
- Limited participants per area
- Can monitor Transformer Reverse Power, (N-1) Constraints, Voltage Constraints, Thermal Constraints



#### **Alternative Connections – Soft-Intertrip**



20<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> March 2017



#### **Alternative Connections – ANM**

- 'Active Network Management'
- Multiple complex constraints affecting a number of customers
- Distributed control systems continually monitor all limits on the network then allocate the maximum capacity to customers in that area
- New ANM 'Zone' being rolled out every six months with a view to making the whole network available for customers to apply for an ANM connection by 2021



#### **Alternative Connections – ANM**



20<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> March 2017





### **Alternative Connections – FlexDGrid Fault Level**

#### Aims

- Use the Fault Level Monitoring data to provide 'Quicker & Cheaper' connections for customers currently restricted by Fault Level constraints
- Ensure any solution is easy to roll-out to both customers and the business. Both commercially and operationally
- Trial with a customer



# **Alternative Connections – Comparisons to Existing**

#### Limitations

- Constraints not seasonal or have any patterns
- Export can not be limited Must be totally disconnected
- Measurements not 'Real-Time' in the true sense
- No fall back protection operation

#### Strengths

Periods of potential curtailment known in advance



#### **Alternative Connections – Comparisons**





# Fault Level – Potential Solution

#### ANM

- Ideal scenario
- Lack of true 'Real-Time' data makes conventional implementation not possible
- Costs associated with full ANM integration ruled it out as part of the project
- However, Fault Level Soft-Intertrip principals will need integrating in to ANM to cater for the possibility of both Fault Level and thermal constraints



# **Fault Level – Proposed Solution**

#### Soft-Intertrip

- Simpler & Cheaper installation
- Existing Soft-Intertrip coding can be altered internally to include an operator in the loop for the final decision





# **Fault Level Soft-Intertrip - Development**

#### **Power-on Integration**

- Routed FLM data in to the WPD corporate network
- Created FLM PoF interface
- Developed 'On-Demand' Intellirupter control





#### **Generator End RTU**

 Generator constraint panel already capable of opening and return status of G59 breaker. Settings amendments required.





#### **Trial Customer**

- Nechells West
- Existing on site Fault Current Limiter at the end of its useful working life. Two large CHP & One 800kVA Gas Generator
- Interested to understand the impact on their business
- Installed solution up to the generator to prove and provide visual indication





#### **Trial Customer**

• Off-Line calculations to establish thresholds

FLM Value (kA)	Mitigating Actions
≥12.705	No Acceptable Mitigating Actions Available
12.190 to 12.704	800kVA Gas Generator Disconnected 4.7MVA CHP Disconnected Bus-Section Z-Y Open
10.675 to 12.189	4.7MVA CHP Disconnected Bus-Section Z-Y Open
≤10.674	Bus-Section Z-Y Open



#### **Trial Customer**

Curtailment

Mitigating Action	Av. No. of Actions per Year	Average Length of Action (Minutes)		mes When be Required
800kVA Gas Generator Disconnected	1.16	2	0.20	2.30pm to
4.7MVA CHP	2.52	3	9.30am	4.30pm
Disconnected	2.52			

Costs

FLM Solution = £91k

Conventional = Approx. £300k & Three Years

Updated policies, offer letter, connection agreement and curtailment studies



# **Fault Level Soft-Intertrip – Final Key Points**

 Two flavours of Fault-Level Soft-Intertrip available – with and without FLM infeed

Mitigating Action	Av. No. of Actions per Year	Average Length of Action (Minutes)		mes When be Required
800kVA Gas Generator Disconnected	4	2	0.20	2.30pm to
4.7MVA CHP	4	3	9.30am	4.30pm
Disconnected	4			

- Customer potentially saves an additional £66k by accepting a couple more curtailments a year. Depending on process criticality.
- Requirements to integrate with ANM solutions in the future for the scenarios where multiple constraints exist.
- Currently 56 similar size sites with the potential for similar Fault Level based savings.



# Thank you for listening

# **Any questions?**



#### HEAT AND POWER FOR BIRMINGHAM

Closedown Dissemination Event 12<sup>th</sup> July 2017

**Next Steps and Close** 

Roger Hey Future Networks Manager







### **Project Outputs**

Learning from EFLA:

- Informed revised methodologies for increased FL modelling accuracy
- Updated WPD Modelling Policy for Fault Level at 11kV to 132kV
- Recommendations for future modelling and system operation practices

	WESTERN POWER DISTRIBUTION Serving the Midlands, South West and Wales
	Company Directive
	STANDARD TECHNIQUE: SD7F/2
Determinati	ion of Short Circuit Duty for Switchgear on the WPD Distribution System
	des guidance on calculation of fault levels so as to determine the switchgear installed on the WPD distribution networks.
This document provi	
This document provi short-circuit duty for	switchgear installed on the WPD distribution networks. Jonathan Berry / Peter Aston
This document provi short-circuit duty for Author:	switchgear installed on the WPD distribution networks. Jonathan Berry / Peter Aston



#### **Project Outputs**

Load	G74 MVA per MVA Infeed
Majority Domestic	1.0
Split Domestic/Industrial	3.0
Majority Commercial	3.0
Majority Industrial	5.0



Learning from Management:

- Developed real-time fault level values for the first time
- Created a proposed template of revised general load infeed values to inform the industry
- Added to our existing suite of alternative connections to include Fault Level softintertrip schemes, where available



# **Project Outputs**

Learning from Mitigation:

- Experience of three FCL installations
- Created over 50MVA of DG connection availability in Birmingham
- Significantly increased the security of supply to all customers through network paralleling



Substation	Capacity Released
Castle Bromwich	13MVA
<b>Chester Street</b>	19MVA
Bournville	20MVA
TOTAL	52MVA



# **Next Steps**

- Policies have been created for all technologies enabling a fast transition to suitable technologies being transferred to Business as Usual
- Studies are being carried out on new connection schemes to assess FCLs against traditional solutions
- Further research and development of FLMs and FCLs to facilitate refined solutions
- Wider study of revised general load infeeds to look at informing ENA standards (G74)



Serving the Midlands, South West and Wales

Roger Hey Western Power Distribution <u>rhey@westernpower.co.uk</u>

Jonathan Berry Western Power Distribution jberry@westernpower.co.uk

James Bennett Western Power Distribution jbennett@westernpower.co.uk Neil Murdoch

neil.murdoch@wsp.com

Ali Kazerooni WSP ali.kazerooni@wsp.com

wpdinnovation@westernpower.co.uk

www.westernpowerinnovation.co.uk